

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/737,675	Applicant(s) ROACH ET AL.	
	Examiner Elizabeth Quan	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:

 (1) Elizabeth Quan.

 (2) David Schneck.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 19, 28, 30, 33, 34-40

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed and faxed proposed claim amendments to the Attorney, who authorized the claim amendments upon consulting with Applicant. Subsequently, Examiner realized that the limitation incorporated into claim 1 should have been also incorporated into claims 19 and 33 in the proposed amendment as discussed with the Attorney. Attorney gave authorization to include the limitation incorporated into claim 1 in claims 19 and 33. The final version of the proposed claim amendments is included in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.